



## Awareness of package-insert: Is there a difference between common population & people with pharmacy background?

<sup>1</sup>George Neenu M, <sup>2</sup>Kandekar Tejasri, <sup>3</sup>Pal Chandana\* & <sup>4</sup>Kamdar Priyanka

Apollo Research & Innovations (ARI), Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Corresponding Author:** Mrs. Chandana Pal, In charge, Accreditation & Quality Systems, Apollo Research & Innovations (ARI), Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Email: chandanapal@aherf.net

Email Id: [service.heb@gmail.com](mailto:service.heb@gmail.com)

### ABSTRACT:

**Background:** The awareness regarding the package insert (PI) is low.

**Aims:** to assess the level of awareness among the general public and those with pharmacy background.


**Settings and Design:** This questionnaire-based survey was carried out in the hospital setting and households around a tertiary care hospital.

**Methods and Material:** We included subjects with at least a graduate degree from the general population and from pharmacy background. The observers randomly visited homes, pharmacies, outpatient departments for prospective participants.

**Results:** Of 150 respondents (75 in each group), 57.3% were men. Mean age $\pm$ SD was 37.65 years $\pm$ 8.56. Forty-five (30.0%) participants always read the PI while 105 (70.0%) read it only sometimes. The reason for referring PI varied from one (18.66%)-nine (8.0%). Unawareness (26.66%), Small font size (20.66%), difficulty/not understanding medical terminology (17.33%), and extensive information (13.33%), were the reasons for not reading the PI. Drug (72.7%) and disease (27.3%) related information were the most sought details. Only 39.3% considered the language used was simple. Seventy-two (48.0%) agreed that PI ensures safe and effective use of medicine. There was inconclusive opinion on PIs met the regulatory standard requirement with 69 (46.0%) agreeing and 81 (54.0%) disagreeing; 71.3% considered that it is not periodically updated. Fifty (33.3%) opined that there are chance of bias favoring the product but 66.7% differed; 30.0% considered that PI was a way of promoting the products of Pharmaceutical company.

**Conclusion:** There is a gap in the knowledge and awareness even among those with pharmacy background, which needs to be bridged. There is a need to increase the awareness to promote safe & effective use of the drug.

**Keywords:** Awareness, general public, knowledge, package inserts, questionnaire based survey

Access this Article Online	Quick Response Code: 
Website: <a href="http://www.journalofhospitalpharmacy.in">http://www.journalofhospitalpharmacy.in</a>	
Received on 10/08/2020	
Accepted on 02/09/2020 © HEB All rights reserved	